

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		\			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/031,326	02/26/1998	JOSEPH J. KARNIEWICZ	303.376US1	8474	
21186 7	7590 08/13/2003				
SCHWEGMA	AN, LUNDBERG, WO	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			PHAN, THAI Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2123	20	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	50	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/031,326

Thai Phan

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

2123

Joseph J. Karniewicz

	Ш

- "	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corre	espondence address			
	for Reply					
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
mailing If the If NO Failure Any re	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai he application to become ABANDONED (35 U	be considered timely. ling date of this communication. .S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 30, 2	2003	·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u>	is/ar	e pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u>		_ is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square object	ted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)💢	The proposed drawing correction filed onAug. C	<i>94, 2000</i> is: a) 💢 approved	I b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)L	_					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have					
*S	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a)[¬					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachm	nent(s)					
1) 💢 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	r No(s)			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	n (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 ln	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Cther:				

Art Unit: 2123

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is response to RCE for application S/N: 09/031,326, filed on June 30, 2003. Claims 1-36 are pending in this official action.

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Improperly numbered dependent claim 30 has been renumbered as claim 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Madhavan et al., US patent no. 5,675,545, in view of Ho, William, US patent no. 6,421,814 B1.

Art Unit: 2123

As per claims 1 and 9, Madhavan discloses method and design system for designing and testing semiconductor memory with feature limitations substantially similar to the claimed invention (Abstract and "Summary of the Invention"). According to Madhavan, the design apparatus includes global files for global variables and design data relating to layout or schematics layout and connectivity data of the functional block, a plurality of cell configuration files or claimed local files, each relating a plurality of local variables to the global variables in system files (Figs. 9, 10-11, col. 2, lines 27-44, col. 5, line 50 to col. 6, line 47, col. 8, lines 31-50, for example), and a plurality of cells, each cell corresponding to a local file and having a set of parameters derived by relating the local variables to the global variables in the global source files such that the changes of global variables in the global files reflect or may cause changes in the programmable cells, including layout of the hierarchical semiconductor structure in accordance with parameters in the local files (Figs. 5-10, col. 6, line 48 to col. 8, line 50). Madhavan does not expressly disclose geometric variables related to physical layout in hierarchical manner as claimed. Practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made would have found Madhavan physical semiconductor memories in the integrated circuit area could have geometric shapes or sizes taking geometric parameter values, occupied in the chip area such that the chip could be tested. Such geometrical feature is also well-known in the semiconductor circuit design. In fact, Ho teaches geometrical layout variables or parameters and such relations in geometrical layout data for physical design with faster and better layout in integrated circuit design (Background of the Invention, col. 1, lines 48-60, Fig. 1B, col. 5, lines 33-60).

Art Unit: 2123

This would motivate practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Ho teaching of geometrical layout variables in hierarchical relationships for physical design of the integrated circuit as disclosed in Madhavan to better improve and faster layout processing in circuit design and testing as in Madhavan.

As per claims 2-3 and 10-11, Madhavan discloses local files would obviously include inherent file from source files, instance files, data files, etc. (Figs. 9-11).

As per claim 4, Madhavan discloses master files in hierarchical design acting as initial version of a corresponding local file for design, modification, increment compilation, etc.

As per claim 5, Madhavan discloses file or clean sheet file for containing design rules for a plurality of cells for coordinated design as claimed.

As per claim 6, Madhavan discloses file extraction and related variable extraction for design and update design.

As per claims 7-8, Madhavan discloses the design display in local host for display interactively interface.

As per claim 12, Madhavan discloses file update including update global file for coordinate process.

As per claim 13, Madhavan discloses local display in local user workstation for the design process.

As per claim 14, Madhavan discloses computer program in concurrent with design program for circuit design process as claimed.

Art Unit: 2123

As per claim 15, Madhavan discloses method and design system for designing and testing semiconductor memory with feature limitations substantially similar to the claimed invention (Abstract and "Summary of the Invention"). According to Madhavan, the design apparatus includes global files for global variables and design data relating to layout or schematics layout and connectivity data of the functional block, a plurality of cell configuration files or claimed local files, each relating a plurality of local variables to the global variables in system files (Figs. 9, 10-11, col. 2, lines 27-44, col. 5, line 50 to col. 6, line 47, col. 8, lines 31-50, for example), and a plurality of cells, each cell corresponding to a local file and having a set of parameters derived by relating the local variables to the global variables in the global source files such that the changes of global variables in the global files reflect or may cause changes in the programmable cells, including layout of the hierarchical semiconductor structure in accordance with parameters in the local files (Figs. 5-10, col. 6, line 48 to col. 8, line 50). Madhavan does not expressly disclose geometric variables related to physical layout in hierarchical manner as claimed. Practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made would have found Madhavan physical semiconductor memories in the integrated circuit area could have geometric shapes or sizes with geometric parameters occupied in the chip area such that the chip could be tested. Such geometrical feature is also well-known in the semiconductor circuit design. In fact, Ho teaches geometrical layout variables or parameters and such relations in geometrical layout data for physical design with faster and better layout in semiconductor integrated circuit design (Background of the Invention, col. 1, lines 48-60, Fig. 1B, col. 5, lines 33-60).

Art Unit: 2123

This would motivate practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Ho teaching of geometrical layout variables in hierarchical relationships for physical design of the integrated circuit as disclosed in Madhavan to better improve and faster physical layout design and testing complex circuit with hierarchical structure to improve test performance.

Similarly, claims 16-21 are also rejected due to its similarities to claims 2-8 and claims 11-14.

As per claim 22, Madhavan discloses method and design system for designing and testing semiconductor memory with feature limitations substantially similar to the claimed invention (Abstract and "Summary of the Invention"). According to Madhavan, the design apparatus includes global files for global variables and design data relating to layout or schematics layout and connectivity data of the functional block, a plurality of cell configuration files or claimed local files, each relating a plurality of local variables to the global variables in system files (Figs. 9, 10-11, col. 2, lines 27-44, col. 5, line 50 to col. 6, line 47, col. 8, lines 31-50, for example), and a plurality of cells, each cell corresponding to a local file and having a set of parameters derived by relating the local variables to the global variables in the global source files such that the changes of global variables in the global files reflect or may cause changes in the programmable cells, including layout of the hierarchical semiconductor structure in accordance with parameters in the local files (Figs. 5-10, col. 6, line 48 to col. 8, line 50). Madhavan does not expressly disclose geometric variables related to physical layout in hierarchical manner as claimed. Practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made would have found Madhavan physical semiconductor memories in the integrated circuit area could have geometric

Art Unit: 2123

shapes or sizes, and the shape and size could be changed in order to fit to a fix occupied chip area such that the chip could be design ed and tested. Such geometrical feature is also well-known in the semiconductor circuit design. In fact, Ho teaches geometrical layout variables or parameters and such relations in geometrical layout data for physical design with faster and better layout in integrated circuit design (Background of the Invention, col. 1, lines 48-60, Fig. 1B, col. 5, lines 33-60).

This would motivate practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made to use

Ho teaching of geometrical layout variables in hierarchical relationships for physical design of
the integrated circuit as disclosed in Madhavan to improve and faster layout processing in circuit
design and testing as in Madhavan.

As per claims 23-24, Madhavan discloses inherent design file, and instance file in the design database.

As per claim 25, Madhavan disclosure would obviously imply design framework for use in the chip design process. Such design framework could include not limited to CADENCE functional design system as claimed.

As per claims 26, 29, and 33, Madhavan discloses method and design system for designing and testing semiconductor memory with feature limitations substantially similar to the claimed invention (Abstract and "Summary of the Invention"). According to Madhavan, the design apparatus includes global files for global variables and design data relating to layout or schematics layout and connectivity data of the functional block, a plurality of cell configuration files or claimed local files, each relating a plurality of local variables to the global variables in

Art Unit: 2123

system files (Figs. 9, 10-11, col. 2, lines 27-44, col. 5, line 50 to col. 6, line 47, col. 8, lines 31-50, for example), and a plurality of programmable cells (Background of the Invention), each cell corresponding to a local file and having a set of parameters derived by relating the local variables to the global variables in the global source files such that the changes of global variables in the global files reflect or may cause changes in the programmable cells, including layout of the hierarchical semiconductor structure in accordance with parameters in the local files (Figs. 5-10, col. 6, line 48 to col. 8, line 50). Madhavan does not expressly disclose geometric variables related to physical layout in hierarchical manner as claimed. Practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made would have found Madhavan physical semiconductor memories in the integrated circuit area could have geometric shapes or sizes taking geometric parameter values, occupied in the chip area such that the chip could be tested. Such geometrical feature is also well-known in the semiconductor circuit design. In fact, Ho teaches geometrical layout variables or parameters and such relations in geometrical layout data for physical design with faster and better layout in integrated circuit design (Background of the Invention, col. 1, lines 48-60, Fig. 1B, col. 5, lines 33-60).

This would motivate practitioner in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Ho teaching of geometrical layout variables in hierarchical relationships for physical design of the integrated circuit as disclosed in Madhavan to better improve and faster layout processing in circuit design and testing as in Madhavan.

Art Unit: 2123

As per claims 27 and 28, Madhavan discloses global files and related parameters files in the semiconductor memory design. Such design parameter files could include inherent files and instance files as claimed.

As per claim 29, Madhavan and Ho disclose means for displaying design process.

As per claim 31, Madhavan discloses update design data in database spreadsheet such as in cleansheet file (col. 7, lines 1-5, for example).

As per claim 32, Madhavan discloses display means for value changes, design files, and means for allowance of user interface.

As per claims 34-36, Madhavan disclosure could include the claimed limitations in the parametric design process.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of a new ground of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument to the newly amended feature in the claims, the examiner has to modify the rejection to meet the amended features.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 1. Patent no. 6,449,757 B1, issued to Karniewicz, Joseph, on Sept. 2002.

Art Unit: 2123

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thai Phan whose telephone number is (703) 305-3812.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

August 11, 2003

May han
Patent Examiner
Thai Phan